



FINAL

Environmental Impact Statement

AND SECTIONS 4(F)/6(F) EVALUATION FOR

Little Cottonwood Canyon

S.R. 210 | Wasatch Boulevard to Alta

**in Cottonwood Heights, Sandy, the Town of Alta,
and Salt Lake County, Utah**

Volume 5: Chapters 26–31

Utah Department of Transportation

UDOT Project No. S-R299(281)

Submitted pursuant to

42 USC 4332(2)(c) and 49 USC 303

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being or have been carried out by UDOT pursuant to 23 USC 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022, and executed by FHWA and UDOT.

Contents of Volume 5

Chapter 26: Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluation.....	26-1
26.1 Introduction	26-1
26.2 Regulatory Setting	26-2
26.2.1 Section 4(f).....	26-2
26.2.2 Section 6(f).....	26-6
26.3 Proposed Action.....	26-6
26.3.1 Summary of the Project Purpose and Need	26-6
26.3.2 Alternatives Considered.....	26-7
26.4 Affected Environment	26-16
26.4.1 Identification of Section 4(f) Resources	26-16
26.4.2 Identification of Section 6(f) Resources	26-36
26.5 Use of Section 4(f) Resources.....	26-36
26.5.1 No-Action Alternative	26-37
26.5.2 Enhanced Bus Service Alternative.....	26-37
26.5.3 Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative.....	26-46
26.5.4 Gondola Alternative A (Starting at Canyon Entrance)	26-53
26.5.5 Gondola Alternative B (Starting at La Caille).....	26-62
26.5.6 Cog Rail Alternative (Starting at La Caille)	26-65
26.6 Avoidance Alternatives	26-71
26.6.1 Site 42SL419 – Avalanche Mitigation Alternatives	26-71
26.6.2 Alpenbock Loop and Grit Mill Climbing Opportunities – Cog Rail Alternative	26-77
26.7 Least Overall Harm Analysis and All Possible Planning.....	26-78
26.7.1 Ability to Mitigate Adverse Impacts	26-79
26.7.2 Relative Severity of the Remaining Harm to Each Section 4(f) Property	26-79
26.7.3 Relative Significance of Each Section 4(f) Property	26-79
26.7.4 Views of the Officials with Jurisdiction over Each Section 4(f) Property.....	26-79
26.7.5 Degree to Which Each Alternative Meets the Purpose and Need.....	26-80
26.7.6 After Reasonable Mitigation, Magnitude of any Adverse Impacts to Resources Not Protected by Section 4(f)	26-80
26.7.7 Substantial Differences in Costs among the Alternatives	26-82
26.7.8 Conclusions for the Least Overall Harm	26-82
26.7.9 All Possible Planning to Minimize Harm	26-83
26.7.10 Conclusion Regarding Use of Site 42SL419	26-83
26.8 Measures to Minimize Harm	26-83
26.8.1 Section 4(f) Historic Properties	26-84
26.8.2 Section 4(f) Recreation Resources.....	26-85

26.9 Coordination.....	26-89
26.9.1 Section 4(f) Historic Properties	26-89
26.9.2 Section 4(f) Recreation Resources	26-89
26.10 Section 4(f) Summary	26-90
26.10.1 Section 4(f) Uses.....	26-90
26.11 References.....	26-91

Chapter 27: Public and Agency Consultation and Coordination27-1

27.1 Introduction	27-1
27.2 Regulatory Setting	27-1
27.3 Public and Agency Involvement.....	27-2
27.3.1 Public Outreach Activities and Information Exchange	27-2
27.3.2 Outreach Compliance with Federal Laws	27-2
27.4 Initial Coordination (Notices of Intent).....	27-3
27.4.1 First NOI: March 9, 2018.....	27-3
27.4.2 Second NOI: March 5, 2019	27-3
27.4.3 Third NOI: May 15, 2019.....	27-3
27.5 Agency Coordination	27-4
27.5.1 Coordination Plan.....	27-4
27.5.2 Identification of Participating and Cooperating Agencies	27-5
27.6 Agency Scoping	27-7
27.6.1 April 9, 2018, Agency Scoping Meeting	27-7
27.6.2 April 3, 2019, Agency Scoping Meeting	27-8
27.6.3 Additional Agency Coordination.....	27-8
27.6.4 Opportunities for the Cooperating and Participating Agencies to Help Develop the Project Purpose and Need Statement	27-9
27.6.5 Opportunities for the Cooperating and Participating Agencies To Help Define the Range of Alternatives.....	27-10
27.6.6 Coordination and Consultation Required by Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.....	27-11
27.6.7 Tribal Consultation	27-12
27.6.8 Coordination with Providers of Environmental Justice Services.....	27-14
27.6.9 Meetings with City and County Councils	27-15
27.6.10 Meetings with the Cottonwood Heights City Planning and Engineering Departments	27-15
27.6.11 Meetings with the Salt Lake City Department of Public Utilities	27-15
27.6.12 Meetings with the USDA Forest Service	27-15
27.6.13 Meetings with the Utah Transit Authority	27-16
27.7 Public Involvement.....	27-16
27.7.1 Coordination and Public Involvement Plan	27-16
27.7.2 Public Scoping	27-17
27.7.3 Purpose and Need Public Review and Comment Periods	27-21
27.7.4 Alternatives Development Process	27-22
27.7.5 USDA Forest Service Amendment Process	27-25

27.7.6 Draft EIS Outreach and Public Hearings	27-25
27.7.7 Chapter 26: Revised Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluation Public Review	27-26
27.7.8 Other Public Outreach	27-27
27.8 Project Website.....	27-29
27.9 References.....	27-29
 Chapter 28: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service Forest Plan Amendments28-1	
28.1 Introduction	28-1
28.2 Forest Plan Amendment Process	28-2
28.2.1 Public Involvement.....	28-2
28.2.2 Forest Plan Amendment Requirements.....	28-3
28.2.3 Administrative Review Process.....	28-4
28.3 Potential Forest Plan Amendments	28-5
28.3.1 Definitions from Forest Planning Regulations.....	28-5
28.3.2 Relevant Standards and Definitions from the 2003 Revised Forest Plan: Wasatch-Cache National Forest	28-6
28.3.3 Identified Inconsistencies between Uses Proposed as Part of the Action Alternatives and the 2003 Revised Forest Plan: Wasatch-Cache National Forest	28-7
28.3.4 Potential Forest Plan Amendment Language	28-8
28.3.5 Summary of Potential Forest Plan Amendments by Action Alternative.....	28-8
28.4 Environmental Impacts of the Potential Forest Plan Amendments	28-10
28.5 References.....	28-10
 Chapter 29: List of Preparers 29-1	
 Chapter 30: Distribution..... 30-1	
 Chapter 31: Index 31-1	

Appendices

- Appendix 26A. USDA Forest Service Letter Regarding Section 4(f) Determination for Climbing Boulders
- Appendix 26B. *De Minimis* Correspondence
- Appendix 27A. Public Hearing Notices and Materials
- Appendix 27B. Chapter 26: Revised Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluation Public Review Notices

Tables

Chapter 26: Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluation

Table 26.4-1	Section 4(f) Applicability for NRHP-eligible Archaeological Sites	26-28
Table 26.4-2	Section 4(f) Parks and Recreation Resources in the Study Area	26-33
Table 26.5-1	Use of Section 4(f) Historic Properties by the Wasatch Boulevard Imbalanced-lane and Five-lane Alternatives with the Enhanced Bus Service Alternative.....	26-38
Table 26.5-2	Use of Section 4(f) Parks and Recreation Resources by the Wasatch Boulevard Imbalanced-lane and Five-lane Alternatives with the Enhanced Bus Service Alternative	26-40
Table 26.5-3	Use of Section 4(f) Historic Properties by the Gravel Pit Mobility Hub with the Enhanced Bus Service Alternative	26-41
Table 26.5-4	Use of Section 4(f) Historic Properties by Snow Sheds with Berms with the Enhanced Bus Service Alternative	26-42
Table 26.5-5	Use of Section 4(f) Recreation Resources by the Trailhead Improvements and No S.R. 210 Roadside Parking within ¼ Mile of Trailheads Alternative with the Enhanced Bus Service Alternative	26-43
Table 26.5-6	Use of Section 4(f) Historic Properties from North Little Cottonwood Road to Alta with the Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative	26-47
Table 26.5-7	Use of Section 4(f) Recreation Resources from North Little Cottonwood Road to Alta with the Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative	26-49
Table 26.5-8	Use of Section 4(f) Historic Properties from North Little Cottonwood Road to Alta with Gondola Alternative A.....	26-55
Table 26.5-9	Use of Section 4(f) Parks and Recreation Resources from North Little Cottonwood Road to Alta with Gondola Alternative A.....	26-56
Table 26.5-10	Use of Section 4(f) Historic Properties at the Base Station at La Caille with Gondola Alternative B	26-62
Table 26.5-11	Use of Section 4(f) Historic Properties from North Little Cottonwood Road to Alta with the Cog Rail Alternative.....	26-66
Table 26.5-12	Use of Section 4(f) Recreation Resources from North Little Cottonwood Road to Alta with the Cog Rail Alternative	26-67
Table 26.6-1	Passive Avalanche Mitigation Avoidance Alternatives	26-73
Table 26.7-1	S.R. 210 – Average Days and Hours of Road Closures with the No-Action Alternative and Avalanche Mitigation Sub-alternatives (2050).....	26-80
Table 26.7-2	Environmental Impacts of the No-Action Alternative and Avalanche Mitigation Sub-alternatives.....	26-81
Table 26.7-3	Preliminary Construction Cost Estimates for the Avalanche Mitigation Sub-alternatives	26-82
Table 26.8-1	Measures to Minimize Harm to Section 4(f) Historic Properties.....	26-84
Table 26.8-2	Measures to Minimize Harm to Section 4(f) Recreation Properties	26-85

Chapter 27: Public and Agency Consultation and Coordination

Table 27.6-1	Attendees of the April 9, 2018, Agency Scoping Meeting	27-7
Table 27.6-2	Attendees of the April 3, 2019, Agency Scoping Meeting	27-8
Table 27.6-3	Attendees of the October 30, 2019, Agency Meeting	27-9
Table 27.6-4	Attendees of the June 4, 2020, Online Alternatives Development Meeting.....	27-11
Table 27.7-1	Public Review Locations for the Draft EIS.....	27-25
Table 27.7-2	Stakeholder Meetings at Key Project Milestones	27-28

Chapter 28: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service Land Use Plan Amendments

Table 28.3-1	Potential Forest Plan Amendments Associated with the Proposed Project Components and Actions and Primary Alternatives Analyzed in the Draft EIS	28-9
--------------	---	------

Figures

Chapter 26: Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluation

Figure 26.3-1	Avalanche Path Size and Return Interval.....	26-11
Figure 26.3-2	Avalanche Mitigation Alternatives – Snow Sheds with Berms Alternative	26-12
Figure 26.3-3	Earthen Berm Cross-section	26-13
Figure 26.3-4	Snow Shed Design	26-13
Figure 26.3-5	Avalanche Mitigation Alternatives – Snow Sheds with Realigned Road Alternative.....	26-15
Figure 26.4-1	Section 4(f) Resources (1 of 11).....	26-17
Figure 26.4-2	Section 4(f) Resources (2 of 11).....	26-18
Figure 26.4-3	Section 4(f) Resources (3 of 11).....	26-19
Figure 26.4-4	Section 4(f) Resources (4 of 11).....	26-20
Figure 26.4-5	Section 4(f) Resources (5 of 11).....	26-21
Figure 26.4-6	Section 4(f) Resources (6 of 11).....	26-22
Figure 26.4-7	Section 4(f) Resources (7 of 11).....	26-23
Figure 26.4-8	Section 4(f) Resources (8 of 11).....	26-24
Figure 26.4-9	Section 4(f) Resources (9 of 11).....	26-25
Figure 26.4-10	Section 4(f) Resources (10 of 11)	26-26
Figure 26.4-11	Section 4(f) Resources (11 of 11)	26-27
Figure 26.5-1	Use of Lisa Falls Trail and Trailhead with the Trailhead Improvement Alternatives and the Cog Rail Alternative.....	26-44
Figure 26.5-2	Use of White Pine Trail and Trailhead with the Trailhead Improvement Alternatives	26-45
Figure 26.5-3	Use of the Alpenbock Loop and Grit Mill Climbing Opportunities and the Temple Quarry Nature Trail with the Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative.....	26-51
Figure 26.5-4	Use of Tanners Flat Campground, Lisa Falls Trail, and White Pine Trail with the Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative	26-52
Figure 26.5-5	Use of Tanners Flat Campground with Gondola Alternatives A and B	26-60
Figure 26.5-6	Use of Alpenbock Loop and Grit Mill Climbing Opportunities with Gondola Alternative A.....	26-61
Figure 26.5-7	Use of Alpenbock Loop and Grit Mill Climbing Opportunities with Gondola Alternative B.....	26-64
Figure 26.5-8	Use of Alpenbock Loop and Grit Mill Climbing Opportunities with the Cog Rail Alternative	26-69
Figure 26.6-1	Road Realignment and Bridges Alternative	26-75