



FINAL

Environmental Impact Statement

AND SECTIONS 4(F)/6(F) EVALUATION FOR

Little Cottonwood Canyon

S.R. 210 | Wasatch Boulevard to Alta

in Cottonwood Heights, Sandy, the Town of Alta, and Salt Lake County, Utah

Volume 1: Summary and Chapters 1-2

Utah Department of Transportation

UDOT Project No. S-R299(281)

Submitted pursuant to

42 USC 4332(2)(c) and 49 USC 303

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being or have been carried out by UDOT pursuant to 23 USC 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022, and executed by FHWA and UDOT.





Contents of Volume 1

Acronyms and Abbreviations			X
Cha	apter S	S: Executive Summary	S-1
	S.1	Why was the S.R. 210 Project initiated?	S-1
	S.2	What is the purpose of the project?	
	S.3	What is the history of the project?	S-3
	S.4	Who is leading the project?	S-4
	S.5	What alternatives were considered for the project?	S-5
	S.6	Would tolling in Little Cottonwood Canyon be required?	S-20
	S.7	How much would the alternatives cost?	
	S.8	What impacts would the project alternatives have?	
	S.9	Which primary and sub-alternatives does UDOT prefer?	
		Who will decide which primary and sub-alternatives are selected for construction?	
		When and how would the selected alternative be constructed?	
		What controversial issues were identified during the EIS process?	
		Are there any major unresolved issues?	
		What additional federal actions might be required if the selected alternative is built?	S-29
	S.15	What notable refinements were made to the primary alternatives or new information provided	
		between the Draft and Final EISs?	
	S.16	What happens next?	
		S.16.1 Utah Department of Transportation	
		S.16.2 U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service	S-32
Cha	apter 1	1: Purpose and Need	1-1
	1.1	Introduction	1-1
		1.1.1 Description of the Transportation Needs Assessment Study Area	1-3
		1.1.2 Background of the S.R. 210 Project	
	1.2	Summary of Purpose and Need	1-7
		1.2.1 Purpose of the Project	1-7
		1.2.2 Need for the Project	
	1.3	Regional Transportation Planning	1-9
	1.4	Need for the Project	
		1.4.1 Planning for Future Conditions	1-11
		1.4.2 Importance of S.R. 210 in the Local and Regional Transportation Systems	
		1.4.3 Current and Future Transportation System Needs	
	1.5	Scope of This Environmental Impact Statement	1-42
	1.6	Public and Agency Involvement in Developing the Purpose and Need	
	1.7	References	



Ch	apter	2: Alte	rnatives	2-1
	2.1	Introduction		2-1
	2.2	Alterna	atives Development and Screening Process	2-1
		2.2.1	Range of Alternatives To Be Considered – June 2020	2-2
		2.2.2	Alternatives Screening Phase – June 2020	2-3
		2.2.3	Alternatives Screening Addendum – November 2020	2-25
		2.2.4	Alternatives Screening after the Addendum Process	2-33
		2.2.5	Alternatives Considered in the Draft EIS	2-35
		2.2.6	Alternatives Suggested during the Draft EIS Comment Period	
		2.2.7	Alternatives Advanced for Further Evaluation in the Final EIS	2-44
	2.3	Alterna	atives Refinement Process	2-47
		2.3.1	Roadway Design	2-47
		2.3.2	Gondola Design	2-48
		2.3.3	Cog Rail Design	2-48
		2.3.4	Avoidance and Minimization	2-49
	2.4	Travel	Demand Management Strategies Considered as Part of the Action Alternatives	2-50
		2.4.1	Tolling	2-50
		2.4.2	Vehicle Occupancy	2-51
	2.5	Land A	Appropriation, Easements, and/or Special-use Permits	2-52
	2.6	Alterna	atives Considered for Detailed Study	2-53
		2.6.1	No-Action Alternative	2-54
		2.6.2	Enhanced Bus Service Alternative	2-54
		2.6.3	Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative	2-79
		2.6.4	Gondola Alternative A (Starting at Canyon Entrance)	2-86
		2.6.5	Gondola Alternative B (Starting at La Caille)	2-98
		2.6.6	Cog Rail Alternative (Starting at La Caille)	
		2.6.7	Preliminary Cost Estimates and Construction Implementation	
		2.6.8	Comparison of Alternatives	
		2.6.9	Basis for Identifying the Preferred Alternative	2-135
	2.7	Refere	ences	2-146

Appendices

- Appendix 2A. Draft Alternatives Development and Screening Report June 8, 2020
- Appendix 2B. Wasatch Boulevard Imbalanced-lane Alternative Plans
- Appendix 2C. Wasatch Boulevard Five-lane Alternative Plans
- Appendix 2D. Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative Plans
- Appendix 2E. Gondola Alternatives Plans
- Appendix 2F. Cog Rail Alternative Plans
- Appendix 2G. Preferred Alternative Selection Memorandum
- Appendix 2H. Base Station and Bus Stop Modifications from Draft EIS
- Appendix 2I. Phased Implementation of Preferred Alternative



Tables

Chapter S: E	Executive Summary	
Table S-1	Primary Alternatives and Sub-alternatives Considered in the Final EIS	S-7
Table S-2	Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate and Operation and Maintenance Cost	S-21
Table S-3	Environmental Impacts of the No-Action and Primary Action Alternatives	S-22
Chapter 1: F	Purpose and Need	
Table 1.1-1	Cooperating and Participating Agencies for the Little Cottonwood Canyon EIS	1-2
Table 1.3-1	Planned and Funded Transportation Improvements in the 2019–2050 RTP in the	
	Study Area	
Table 1.4-1	Projected Regional Population, Employment, and Household Growth	1-12
Table 1.4-2	Roadway and Intersection Levels of Service in the PM Peak Hour under Existing (2015) Conditions and Future (2050) No-action Conditions	1-24
Table 1.4-3	Comparison of Crash Rates for S.R. 210 from Fort Union Boulevard to S.R. 209 (2010–2018) to the Statewide Averages for Arterial Roads (2011–2015)	1-26
Table 1.4-4	Days of High Traffic Volumes in Little Cottonwood Canyon by Year	1-30
Table 1.4-5	Hazard Category as Defined by the Avalanche Hazard Index	1-31
Table 1.4-6	Comparison of Crash Rates for Little Cottonwood Canyon Road to the Statewide Average for Rural Minor Arterial Roads (2010–2018)	1-36
Chapter 2: A	Alternatives	
Table 2.2-1	Level 1 Screening Criteria (Purpose and Need)	2-3
Table 2.2-2	Level 2 Screening Criteria (Impacts)	2-4
Table 2.2-3	Improve Mobility on Wasatch Boulevard – Screening Results	
Table 2.2-4	Improve Mobility on S.R. 210 – Fort Union Boulevard to Alta Screening Results	2-9
Table 2.2-5	Improve Mobility on S.R. 210 – Mobility Hubs Screening Results	2-16
Table 2.2-6	Improve Reliability and Safety on S.R. 210 – Avalanche Mitigation Screening Results	2-17
Table 2.2-7	Improve Reliability and Safety on S.R. 210 – Trailhead Parking Screening Results	2-20
Table 2.2-8	Alternatives and Options To Be Evaluated in the Draft EIS – June 2020 Draft Alternatives Development and Screening Report	
Table 2.2-9	Screening Results – November 2020 Draft Alternatives Development and Screening Report Addendum	2-26
Table 2.2-10	Primary Alternatives and Sub-alternatives Evaluated in the EIS	2-45
Table 2.6-1	Enhanced Bus Service Alternative – Bus Operation Details	2-58
Table 2.6-2	Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Total Parking Spaces from S.R. 209/S.R. 210 to Snowbird Entry 1 by Trailhead Alternative	
Table 2.6-3	Gondola Alternative A – Gondola Alignment Land Ownership	2-92
Table 2.6-4	Gondola Alternative A – Tower Height and Construction Method	2-95
Table 2.6-5	Gondola Alternative B – Gondola Alignment Land Ownership	
Table 2.6-6	Gondola Alternative B – Tower Height and Construction Method	2-107
Table 2.6-7	Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate and Operation and Maintenance Cost	2-130
Table 2.6-8	Primary Advantages and Disadvantages of the No-Action and Primary Action Alternatives	
Table 2.6-9	Environmental Impacts of the No-Action and Primary Action Alternatives	2-133
Table 2.6-10	Gondola Alternative B – With the Additional Impacts of Phased Implementation	2-139



Figures

Chapter S:	Executive	Summary
-------------------	------------------	----------------

Figure S-1	S.R. 210 Transportation Needs Assessment Study Area	S-2
Figure S-2	Overview of the S.R. 210 Alternatives Development and Screening Process	S-5
Figure S-3	Enhanced Bus Service Alternative	S-9
Figure S-4	Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative	S-10
Figure S-5	Gondola Alternative A (Starting at Canyon Entrance)	S-11
Figure S-6	Gondola Alternative B (Starting at La Caille)	S-12
Figure S-7	Cog Rail Alternative (Starting at La Caille)	S-13
Figure S-8	Wasatch Boulevard Sub-alternatives	S-16
Figure S-9	Avalanche Mitigation Sub-alternatives	S-17
Figure S-10	Location of Trailhead Parking Alternative Improvements	S-18
Figure S-11	No Winter Parking Alternative – Eliminated Parking Areas	S-19
Chapter 1: P	urpose and Need	
Figure 1.1-1	Transportation Needs Assessment Study Area	1-4
Figure 1.4-1	Future (2050) No-action Transportation Network	1-13
Figure 1.4-2	Number of Current Travel Lanes on S.R. 210	1-15
Figure 1.4-3	Little Cottonwood Canyon Recreation Destinations	1-18
Figure 1.4-4	Transit Routes and Park-and-ride Lots	1-20
Figure 1.4-5	Bicycle Facilities	1-22
Figure 1.4-6	Level of Service	1-23
Figure 1.4-7	Levels of Service in the PM Peak Period under Existing (2015) and Future (2050) No-action Conditions on Wasatch Boulevard from Fort Union Boulevard to North Little Cottonwood Road and on North Little Cottonwood Road	1-25
Figure 1.4-8	Traffic in Little Cottonwood Canyon in February 2017	
Figure 1.4-9	Traffic in Little Cottonwood Canyon in July 2017	
Figure 1.4-10	Congestion in Little Cottonwood Canyon	
Figure 1.4-11	Avalanche Paths in Little Cottonwood Canyon	
Figure 1.4-12	Avalanche at White Pine Chutes on March 14, 1998	
Figure 1.4-13	Number of Winter Closures and Total Closure Hours for Little Cottonwood Canyon Road (1999–2018)	
Figure 1.4-14	Traffic Stopped on Wasatch Boulevard from Avalanche Closure in Little Cottonwood Canyon	
Figure 1.4-15	Winter Roadside Parking in Little Cottonwood Canyon	
Figure 1.4-16	Parking Area Occupancy on Presidents' Day, February 20, 2012	
Figure 1.4-17	Summer Roadside Parking at the White Pine Trailhead	
Figure 1.4-18	Parking Area Occupancy on Labor Day, September 7, 2011	



Chapter 2: Alternatives

Figure 2.2-1	Overview of the S.R. 210 Alternatives Development and Screening Process	2-1
Figure 2.2-2	Regional Bus Service with Micro-hubs Alternative	2-40
Figure 2.2-3	West Side of Wasatch Boulevard Mobility Hub Alternative	2-42
Figure 2.6-1	Enhanced Bus Service Alternative – Overview	2-55
Figure 2.6-2	Enhanced Bus Service Alternative – Snowbird Bus Stop	2-59
Figure 2.6-3	Enhanced Bus Service Alternative – Alta Bus Stop	2-60
Figure 2.6-4	Mobility Hubs - Gravel Pit (6200 South and Wasatch Boulevard) Mobility Hub Layout	2-62
Figure 2.6-5	Mobility Hubs – Concept of Mobility Hub at the Gravel Pit	2-63
Figure 2.6-6	Mobility Hubs – 9400 South and Highland Drive Mobility Hub Conceptual Layout	2-64
Figure 2.6-7	Wasatch Boulevard Alternatives – Imbalanced-lane Alternative Cross-section (Bengal Boulevard to North Little Cottonwood Road)	2-65
Figure 2.6-8	Wasatch Boulevard Alternatives – Five-lane Alternative Cross-section (Fort Union Boulevard to North Little Cottonwood Road)	2-67
Figure 2.6-9	Avalanche Mitigation Alternatives – Snow Sheds with Berms Alternative	2-68
Figure 2.6-10	Earthen Berm Cross-section	2-69
Figure 2.6-11	Snow Shed Design with Bicycle Path	2-69
Figure 2.6-12	Avalanche Mitigation Alternatives – Snow Sheds with Realigned Road Alternative	2-72
Figure 2.6-13	Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Trailhead Locations	2-75
Figure 2.6-14	Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Gate Buttress Trailhead (21 Spaces)	2-76
Figure 2.6-15	Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Bridge Trailhead (15 Spaces)	2-76
Figure 2.6-16	Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Lisa Falls Trailhead (41 Spaces)	2-77
Figure 2.6-17	Trailhead Parking Alternatives – White Pine Trailhead (144 Spaces)	2-77
Figure 2.6-18	No Winter Parking Alternative – Eliminated Parking Areas	2-78
Figure 2.6-19	Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative – Overview	2-81
Figure 2.6-20	Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative – Locations of Peak-period Shoulder Lanes	2-84
Figure 2.6-21	Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative – Typical Section	2-85
Figure 2.6-22	Gondola Alternative A – Overview	2-87
Figure 2.6-23	Gondola Alternative A – Alignment and Station Locations	2-90
Figure 2.6-24	Gondola Alternative A – Base Station Layout	2-92
Figure 2.6-25	Gondola Alternative A – Area of Cleared Vegetation for Angle Station	2-94
Figure 2.6-26	Gondola Alternative A – Example of Gondola Towers	2-96
Figure 2.6-27	Gondola Alternative B – Overview	2-99
Figure 2.6-28	Gondola Alternative B – Base Station Layout North	2-102
Figure 2.6-29	Gondola Alternative B – Base Station Layout South	2-103
Figure 2.6-30	Gondola Alternative B – Alignment and Terminal Station Locations	2-105
Figure 2.6-31	Gondola Alternative B – Little Cottonwood Canyon Park-and-ride Angle Station	2-106
Figure 2.6-32	Cog Rail Alternative – Overview	2-111
Figure 2.6-33	Cog Rail Alternative – Alignment and Station Locations	2-114
Figure 2.6-34	Cog Rail Alternative – Base Station Layout North	2-116
Figure 2.6-35	Cog Rail Alternative – Base Station Layout South	2-117
Figure 2.6-36	Cog Rail Alternative – Snowbird and Alta Stations	2-118



Figure 2.6-37	Cog Rail Alternative – Operations and Maintenance Facility and Little Cottonwood	
· ·	Canyon Park-and-ride Lot	2-119
Figure 2.6-38	Cog Rail Alternative – Track Configuration	2-121
Figure 2.6-39	Cog Rail Alternative – Cross-section	2-122
Figure 2.6-40	Cog Rail Alternative – Trailhead Improvements	2-123
Figure 2.6-41	Cog Rail Alternative – Mid-canyon Snow Shed Design	2-125
Figure 2.6-42	Cog Rail Alternative – Upper-canyon Snow Shed Design	2-126
Figure 2.6-43	Cog Rail Alternative – Upper-canyon Snow Sheds	2-127



Acronyms and Abbreviations

μg/L micrograms per liter

AADT annual average daily traffic

ACHP Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

ACS American Community Survey
ADLS aircraft detection lighting system

AHI avalanche hazard index

AM morning

APE area of potential effects

Ave. avenue

BCE Before the Common Era
BLM Bureau of Land Management

Blvd. boulevard

BMP best management practice

CE Common Era

CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
CLG certified local government

CLOMR Conditional Letter of Map Revision

CO carbon monoxide CO₂ carbon dioxide

CO₂e carbon dioxide equivalent COC contaminant of concern

dB decibels

dBA A-weighted decibels

DERR Utah Division of Environmental Response and Remediation

DMU diesel multiple unit

DOE determination of eligibility

Dr. drive

E. coli Escherichia coli
EC eligible/contributing

EIS Environmental Impact Statement
EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ES eligible/significant

FAA Federal Aviation Administration

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency

FHWA Federal Highway Administration

FOE finding of effect FR Federal Register

ft feet



FTA Federal Transit Administration g/hp-hr grams per horsepower-hour GAP Gap Analysis Program

GHG greenhouse gas

GIS geographic information systems

GPS global positioning system
HAP hazardous air pollutants
HEI Health Effects Institute
HOV high-occupancy vehicle

hp horsepower I-215 Interstate 215 ID identifier

in/sec inches per second

KOP key observation point

LCC Little Cottonwood Canyon

LCU landscape character unit

Ldn day-night noise descriptor

Leq equivalent noise level

LOMA Letters of Map Amendment LOMR Letter of Map Revision

LOS level of service

LWCF Land and Water Conservation Fund

mg/L milligrams per liter
ML monitoring location
mm/sec millimeters per second

MOU Memorandum of Understanding

MP management prescription

MP milepost

mpg vehicle-miles per gallon

mph miles per hour

MS4 municipal separate storm sewer system
MSAT mobile-source air toxic compounds
NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NAC noise-abatement criteria

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act
NFHL National Flood Hazard Layer
NFMA National Forest Management Act

NFS National Forest System

NHPA National Historic Preservation Act

No. number

NO₂ nitrogen dioxide NOI Notice of Intent

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System



NPL National Priorities List

NRHP National Register of Historic Places

NWP Nationwide Permit

 O_3 ozone PM afternoon

PM particulate matter

PM₁₀ particulate matter 10 microns in diameter or less PM_{2.5} particulate matter 2.5 microns in diameter or less

POAQC project of air quality concern
PPSL peak-period shoulder lane
RAC remote avalanche control

Rd. road

RDCC Resource Development Coordinating Committee

RHCA Riparian Habitat Conservation Area

ROD Record of Decision

ROW right of way

RTP regional transportation plan

S.R. state route

SCC species of conservation concern

Section 4(f) Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act

Section 6(f) Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act

Section 7 Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act

SEL sound exposure level

SHPO State Historic Preservation Officer

SIO Scenic Integrity Objectives
SIP state implementation plan

SLCDPU Salt Lake City Department of Public Utilities

SMS Scenery Management System

sp. one species

spp. more than one species

SWPPP stormwater pollution prevention plan TCE temporary construction easement

TDS total dissolved solids

TIP transportation improvement program

TMDL Total Maximum Daily Load

TNM Traffic Noise Model

TNW traditional navigable water
TSS total suspended solids
UAC Utah Administrative Code

UDEQ Utah Department of Environmental Quality

UDOT Utah Department of Transportation
UDSH Utah Division of State History
UDWQ Utah Division of Water Quality



UFA Unified Fire Authority

UNHP Utah Natural Heritage Program

UPDES Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

USC United States Code

USDA U.S. Department of Agriculture USFWS U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

USGS U.S. Geological Survey
UST underground storage tank
UTA Utah Transit Authority

UWCNF Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest

Vol. volume

WCNF Wasatch-Cache National Forest WFRC Wasatch Front Regional Council