



DRAFT

Environmental Impact Statement

AND SECTIONS 4(F)/6(F) EVALUATION FOR

Little Cottonwood Canyon

S.R. 210 | Wasatch Boulevard to Alta

**in Cottonwood Heights, Sandy, the Town of Alta,
and Salt Lake County, Utah**

Volume 1: **Summary and Chapters 1–2**

Utah Department of Transportation

UDOT Project No. S-R299(281)

Submitted pursuant to

42 USC 4332(2)(c) and 49 USC 303

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being or have been carried out by UDOT pursuant to 23 USC 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated January 17, 2017, and executed by FHWA and UDOT.

Contents of Volume 1

Acronyms and Abbreviations ix

Chapter S: Executive Summary S-1

S.1 Why was the S.R. 210 Project initiated? S-1
 S.2 What is the purpose of the project? S-3
 S.3 What is the history of the project? S-3
 S.4 Who is leading the project? S-4
 S.5 What alternatives were considered for the project? S-5
 S.6 Would tolling in Little Cottonwood Canyon be required? S-20
 S.7 How much would the alternatives cost? S-20
 S.8 What impacts would the project alternatives have? S-20
 S.9 Which alternatives does UDOT prefer? S-24
 S.10 Who will decide which alternatives are selected for construction? S-24
 S.11 When and how would the Selected Alternatives be constructed? S-25
 S.12 What controversial issues were identified during the EIS process? S-26
 S.13 Are there any major unresolved issues? S-27
 S.14 What additional federal actions might be required if the project is built? S-27
 S.15 What happens next? S-27

Chapter 1: Purpose and Need 1-1

1.1 Introduction 1-1
 1.1.1 Description of the Transportation Needs Assessment Study Area 1-3
 1.1.2 Background of the S.R. 210 Project 1-5
 1.2 Summary of Purpose and Need 1-7
 1.2.1 Purpose of the Project 1-7
 1.2.2 Need for the Project 1-8
 1.3 Regional Transportation Planning 1-9
 1.4 Need for the Project 1-11
 1.4.1 Planning for Future Conditions 1-11
 1.4.2 Importance of S.R. 210 in the Local and Regional Transportation Systems 1-14
 1.4.3 Current and Future Transportation System Needs 1-23
 1.5 Scope of This Environmental Impact Statement 1-42
 1.6 Public and Agency Involvement in Developing the Purpose and Need 1-43
 1.7 References 1-45

Chapter 2: Alternatives	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Alternatives Development and Screening Process	2-1
2.2.1 Range of Alternatives To Be Considered – June 2020.....	2-2
2.2.2 Alternatives Screening Phase – June 2020.....	2-3
2.2.3 Alternatives Screening Addendum – November 2020.....	2-25
2.2.4 Alternatives Screening after the Addendum Process	2-33
2.2.5 Alternatives Advanced for Further Evaluation in the EIS.....	2-34
2.3 Alternatives Refinement Process.....	2-37
2.3.1 Roadway Design	2-37
2.3.2 Gondola Design	2-38
2.3.3 Cog Rail Design	2-38
2.3.4 Avoidance and Minimization	2-39
2.4 Travel Demand Management Strategies Considered as Part of the Action Alternatives.....	2-40
2.4.1 Tolling.....	2-40
2.4.2 Vehicle Occupancy	2-41
2.5 Land Appropriation, Easements, and/or Special-use Permits.....	2-41
2.6 Alternatives Considered for Detailed Study.....	2-42
2.6.1 No-Action Alternative	2-43
2.6.2 Enhanced Bus Service Alternative.....	2-43
2.6.3 Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative.....	2-66
2.6.4 Gondola Alternative A (Starting at Canyon Entrance).....	2-72
2.6.5 Gondola Alternative B (Starting at La Caille).....	2-84
2.6.6 Cog Rail Alternative (Starting at La Caille).....	2-96
2.6.7 Preliminary Cost Estimates and Construction Implementation.....	2-115
2.6.8 Comparison of Alternatives.....	2-115
2.6.9 Basis for Identifying the Preferred Alternatives.....	2-121
2.7 References.....	2-128

Appendices

- Appendix 2A. Draft Alternatives Development and Screening Report June 8, 2020
- Appendix 2B. Wasatch Boulevard Imbalanced-lane Alternative Plans
- Appendix 2C. Wasatch Boulevard Five-lane Alternative Plans
- Appendix 2D. Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative Plans
- Appendix 2E. Gondola Alternatives Plans
- Appendix 2F. Cog Rail Alternative Plans
- Appendix 2G. Preferred Alternative Technical Memorandum

Tables

Chapter S: Executive Summary

Table S-1. Primary Alternatives and Sub-alternatives Considered in the Draft EIS	S-7
Table S-2. Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate and Operation and Maintenance Cost	S-21
Table S-3. Environmental Impacts of the No-Action and Primary Action Alternatives	S-22

Chapter 1: Purpose and Need

Table 1.1-1. Cooperating and Participating Agencies for the Little Cottonwood Canyon EIS	1-2
Table 1.3-1. Planned and Funded Transportation Improvements in the 2019–2050 RTP in the Study Area.....	1-10
Table 1.4-1. Projected Regional Population, Employment, and Household Growth.....	1-12
Table 1.4-2. Roadway and Intersection Levels of Service in the PM Peak Hour under Existing (2015) Conditions and Future (2050) No-action Conditions	1-24
Table 1.4-3. Comparison of Crash Rates for S.R. 210 from Fort Union Boulevard to S.R. 209 (2010– 2018) to the Statewide Averages for Arterial Roads (2011–2015).....	1-26
Table 1.4-4. Days of High Traffic Volumes in Little Cottonwood Canyon by Year	1-30
Table 1.4-5. Hazard Category as Defined by the Avalanche Hazard Index.....	1-31
Table 1.4-6. Comparison of Crash Rates for Little Cottonwood Canyon Road to the Statewide Average for Rural Minor Arterial Roads (2010–2018).....	1-36

Chapter 2: Alternatives

Table 2.2-1. Level 1 Screening Criteria (Purpose and Need)	2-3
Table 2.2-2. Level 2 Screening Criteria (Impacts).....	2-4
Table 2.2-3. Improve Mobility on Wasatch Boulevard – Screening Results	2-6
Table 2.2-4. Improve Mobility on S.R. 210 – Fort Union Boulevard to Alta Screening Results	2-9
Table 2.2-5. Improve Mobility on S.R. 210 – Mobility Hubs Screening Results.....	2-16
Table 2.2-6. Improve Reliability and Safety on S.R. 210 – Avalanche Mitigation Screening Results.....	2-17
Table 2.2-7. Improve Reliability and Safety on S.R. 210 – Trailhead Parking Screening Results.....	2-20
Table 2.2-8. Alternatives and Options To Be Evaluated in the Draft EIS – June 2020 Draft Alternatives Development and Screening Report	2-23
Table 2.2-9. Screening Results – November 2020 Draft Alternatives Development and Screening Report Addendum	2-26
Table 2.2-10. Primary Alternatives and Sub-alternatives Evaluated in the Draft EIS	2-35
Table 2.6-1. Enhanced Bus Service Alternative – Bus Operation Details.....	2-48
Table 2.6-2. Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Total Parking Spaces from S.R. 209/S.R. 210 to Snowbird Entry 1 by Trailhead Alternative	2-60
Table 2.6-3. Gondola Alternative A – Gondola Alignment Land Ownership	2-78
Table 2.6-4. Gondola Alternative A – Tower Height and Construction Method	2-81
Table 2.6-5. Gondola Alternative B – Gondola Alignment Land Ownership	2-90
Table 2.6-6. Gondola Alternative B – Tower Height and Construction Method	2-93
Table 2.6-7. Preliminary Construction Cost Estimate and Operation and Maintenance Cost	2-116
Table 2.6-8. Primary Advantages and Disadvantages of the No-Action and Primary Action Alternatives	2-117
Table 2.6-9. Environmental Impacts of the No-Action and Primary Action Alternatives	2-119

Figures

Chapter S: Executive Summary

Figure S-1. S.R. 210 Transportation Needs Assessment Study Area	S-2
Figure S-2. Overview of the S.R. 210 Alternatives Development and Screening Process	S-5
Figure S-3. Enhanced Bus Service Alternative	S-9
Figure S-4. Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative	S-10
Figure S-5. Gondola Alternative A (Starting at Canyon Entrance).....	S-11
Figure S-6. Gondola Alternative B (Starting at La Caille).....	S-12
Figure S-7. Cog Rail Alternative (Starting at La Caille).....	S-13
Figure S-8. Wasatch Boulevard Sub-alternatives	S-16
Figure S-9. Avalanche Mitigation Sub-alternatives	S-17
Figure S-10. Location of Trailhead Parking Alternative Improvements.....	S-18
Figure S-11. No Winter Parking Alternative – Eliminated Parking Areas.....	S-19

Chapter 1: Purpose and Need

Figure 1.1-1. Transportation Needs Assessment Study Area	1-4
Figure 1.4-1. Future (2050) No-action Transportation Network	1-13
Figure 1.4-2. Number of Current Travel Lanes on S.R. 210	1-15
Figure 1.4-3. Little Cottonwood Canyon Recreation Destinations	1-18
Figure 1.4-4. Transit Routes and Park-and-ride Lots	1-20
Figure 1.4-5. Bicycle Facilities	1-22
Figure 1.4-6. Level of Service.....	1-23
Figure 1.4-7. Levels of Service in the PM Peak Period under Existing (2015) and Future (2050) No-action Conditions on Wasatch Boulevard from Fort Union Boulevard to North Little Cottonwood Road and on North Little Cottonwood Road	1-25
Figure 1.4-8. Traffic in Little Cottonwood Canyon in February 2017.....	1-28
Figure 1.4-9. Traffic in Little Cottonwood Canyon in July 2017.....	1-28
Figure 1.4-10. Congestion in Little Cottonwood Canyon.....	1-29
Figure 1.4-11. Avalanche Paths in Little Cottonwood Canyon.....	1-32
Figure 1.4-12. Avalanche at White Pine Chutes on March 14, 1998	1-33
Figure 1.4-13. Number of Winter Closures and Total Closure Hours for Little Cottonwood Canyon Road (1999–2018)	1-34
Figure 1.4-14. Traffic Stopped on Wasatch Boulevard from Avalanche Closure in Little Cottonwood Canyon	1-35
Figure 1.4-15. Winter Roadside Parking in Little Cottonwood Canyon	1-37
Figure 1.4-16. Parking Area Occupancy on Presidents' Day, February 20, 2012	1-38
Figure 1.4-17. Summer Roadside Parking at the White Pine Trailhead	1-39
Figure 1.4-18. Parking Area Occupancy on Labor Day, September 7, 2011.....	1-40

Chapter 2: Alternatives

Figure 2.2-1. Overview of the S.R. 210 Alternatives Development and Screening Process	2-1
Figure 2.6-1. Enhanced Bus Service Alternative – Overview	2-45
Figure 2.6-2. Mobility Hubs – Gravel Pit (6200 South and Wasatch Boulevard) Mobility Hub Layout	2-49
Figure 2.6-3. Mobility Hubs – Concept of Mobility Hub at the Gravel Pit	2-50
Figure 2.6-4. Mobility Hubs – 9400 South and Highland Drive Mobility Hub Conceptual Layout	2-51
Figure 2.6-5. Wasatch Boulevard Alternatives – Imbalanced-lane Alternative Cross-section (Bengal Boulevard to North Little Cottonwood Road)	2-52
Figure 2.6-6. Wasatch Boulevard Alternatives – Five-lane Alternative Cross-section (Fort Union Boulevard to North Little Cottonwood Road)	2-54
Figure 2.6-7. Avalanche Mitigation Alternatives – Snow Sheds with Berms Alternative	2-55
Figure 2.6-8. Earthen Berm Cross-section	2-56
Figure 2.6-9. Snow Shed Design with Bicycle Path	2-56
Figure 2.6-10. Avalanche Mitigation Alternatives – Snow Sheds with Realigned Road Alternative	2-59
Figure 2.6-11. Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Trailhead Locations	2-62
Figure 2.6-12. Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Gate Buttress Trailhead (21 Spaces)	2-63
Figure 2.6-13. Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Bridge Trailhead (15 Spaces)	2-63
Figure 2.6-14. Trailhead Parking Alternatives – Lisa Falls Trailhead (41 Spaces)	2-64
Figure 2.6-15. Trailhead Parking Alternatives – White Pine Trailhead (144 Spaces)	2-64
Figure 2.6-16. No Winter Parking Alternative – Eliminated Parking Areas	2-65
Figure 2.6-17. Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative – Overview	2-67
Figure 2.6-18. Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative – Locations of Peak-period Shoulder Lanes	2-70
Figure 2.6-19. Enhanced Bus Service in Peak-period Shoulder Lane Alternative – Typical Section	2-71
Figure 2.6-20. Gondola Alternative A – Overview	2-73
Figure 2.6-21. Gondola Alternative A – Alignment and Station Locations	2-76
Figure 2.6-22. Gondola Alternative A – Base Station Layout	2-77
Figure 2.6-23. Gondola Alternative A – Area of Cleared Vegetation for Angle Station	2-80
Figure 2.6-24. Gondola Alternative A – Example of Gondola Towers	2-82
Figure 2.6-25. Gondola Alternative B – Overview	2-85
Figure 2.6-26. Gondola Alternative B – Base Station Layout North	2-88
Figure 2.6-27. Gondola Alternative B – Base Station Layout South	2-89
Figure 2.6-28. Gondola Alternative B – Alignment and Terminal Station Locations	2-91
Figure 2.6-29. Gondola Alternative B – Little Cottonwood Canyon Park-and-ride Angle Station	2-92
Figure 2.6-30. Gondola Alternative B – Bus Service Route to and from the Mobility Hubs	2-95
Figure 2.6-31. Cog Rail Alternative – Overview	2-97
Figure 2.6-32. Cog Rail Alternative – Alignment and Station Locations	2-100
Figure 2.6-33. Cog Rail Alternative – Base Station Layout North	2-102
Figure 2.6-34. Cog Rail Alternative – Base Station Layout South	2-103
Figure 2.6-35. Cog Rail Alternative – Snowbird and Alta Stations	2-104
Figure 2.6-36. Cog Rail Alternative – Operations and Maintenance Facility and Little Cottonwood Canyon Park-and-ride Lot	2-105

Figure 2.6-37. Cog Rail Alternative – Track Configuration..... 2-107

Figure 2.6-38. Cog Rail Alternative – Cross-section 2-108

Figure 2.6-39. Cog Rail Alternative – Trailhead Improvements 2-109

Figure 2.6-40. Cog Rail Alternative – Mid-canyon Snow Shed Design..... 2-111

Figure 2.6-41. Cog Rail Alternative – Upper-canyon Snow Shed Design..... 2-112

Figure 2.6-42. Cog Rail Alternative – Upper-canyon Snow Sheds 2-113

Acronyms and Abbreviations

µg/L	micrograms per liter
AADT	annual average daily traffic
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ACS	American Community Survey
ADLS	aircraft detection lighting system
AHI	avalanche hazard index
AM	morning
APE	area of potential effects
Ave.	avenue
BCE	Before the Common Era
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
Blvd.	boulevard
BMP	best management practice
CE	Common Era
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CLG	certified local government
CLOMR	Conditional Letter of Map Revision
CO	carbon monoxide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	carbon dioxide equivalent
COC	contaminant of concern
dB	decibels
dBA	A-weighted decibels
DERR	Utah Division of Environmental Response and Remediation
DMU	diesel multiple unit
DOE	determination of eligibility
Dr.	drive
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
EC	eligible/contributing
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ES	eligible/significant
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FOE	finding of effect
FR	Federal Register
FS	Forest Service

ft	feet
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
g/hp-hr	grams per horsepower-hour
GAP	Gap Analysis Program
GHG	greenhouse gas
GIS	geographic information systems
GPS	global positioning system
HAP	hazardous air pollutants
HEI	Health Effects Institute
HOV	high-occupancy vehicle
hp	horsepower
I-215	Interstate 215
ID	identifier
in/sec	inches per second
KOP	key observation point
LCC	Little Cottonwood Canyon
LCU	landscape character unit
L _{dn}	day-night noise descriptor
L _{eq}	equivalent noise level
LOMA	Letters of Map Amendment
LOMR	Letter of Map Revision
LOS	level of service
LWCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund
mg/L	milligrams per liter
ML	monitoring location
mm/sec	millimeters per second
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MP	management prescription
MP	milepost
mpg	vehicle-miles per gallon
mph	miles per hour
MS4	municipal separate storm sewer system
MSAT	mobile-source air toxic compounds
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAC	noise-abatement criteria
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFHL	National Flood Hazard Layer
NFMA	National Forest Management Act
NFS	National Forest System
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
No.	number
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NOI	Notice of Intent

NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NWP	Nationwide Permit
O ₃	ozone
PM	afternoon
PM	particulate matter
PM ₁₀	particulate matter 10 microns in diameter or less
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter 2.5 microns in diameter or less
POAQC	project of air quality concern
PPSL	peak-period shoulder lane
RAC	remote avalanche control
Rd.	road
RDCC	Resource Development Coordinating Committee
RHCA	Riparian Habitat Conservation Area
ROD	Record of Decision
ROW	right of way
RTP	regional transportation plan
S.R.	state route
SCC	species of conservation concern
Section 4(f)	Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act
Section 6(f)	Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act
Section 7	Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act
SEL	sound exposure level
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SIO	Scenic Integrity Objectives
SIP	state implementation plan
SLCDPU	Salt Lake City Department of Public Utilities
SMS	Scenery Management System
sp.	one species
spp.	more than one species
SWPPP	stormwater pollution prevention plan
TCE	temporary construction easement
TDS	total dissolved solids
TIP	transportation improvement program
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TNM	Traffic Noise Model
TNW	traditional navigable water
TSS	total suspended solids
UAC	Utah Administrative Code
UDEQ	Utah Department of Environmental Quality
UDOT	Utah Department of Transportation
UDSH	Utah Division of State History

UDWQ	Utah Division of Water Quality
UFA	Unified Fire Authority
UNHP	Utah Natural Heritage Program
UPDES	Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USC	United States Code
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	underground storage tank
UTA	Utah Transit Authority
UWCNF	Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest
Vol.	volume
WCNF	Wasatch-Cache National Forest
WFRC	Wasatch Front Regional Council