
ENHANCED BUS SERVICE ALTERNATIVE











ENHANCED BUS SERVICE ALTERNATIVE



ALTERNATIVE IMPACT SUMMARY

	Meets Project Purpose and Need									
ALTERNATIVE	Substantially Improve Average Per Person Travel Time (Across all travel modes for each user)	Substantially Reduce Vehicle Backups Distance from S.R. 209/S.R. 210 Intersection (Feet)		Natural/Built Environment Impacts				Costs		
		On S.R. 209	On S.R. 210	Visual change	Air quality standards exceeded	Impacted noise receptors	Water quality standards exceeded	Relocations	\$ Capital costs	O&M costs
No-Action Alternative	80-85 min	6,700	13,000	None	No	173	No	0	-	-
ENHANCED BUS NO ADDITIONAL ROADWAY CAPACITY	46 MIN	1,275	4,300	Low	No	173 + 57 No-action Alternative baseline noise impact	No	1 (already acquired)	\$355 M	\$14 M Winter

OTHER TRANSPORTATION PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS

ALTERNATIVE	Mobility	Travel Reliability	Safety	Scalability	Supports Active Transportation
ENHANCED BUS NO ADDITIONAL ROADWAY CAPACITY	1,008 people per hour (Meets goal)	 Operate in same travel lane as personal vehicles Slide offs/crashes may block single lane Snow/icy conditions would slow service 	 Snow sheds lower risk of service delays due to avalanche mitigation Snow sheds improve roadway reliability and safety 	 Scalable - could start with a smaller bus fleet & fewer mobility hub parking spaces Build on service as demand grows 	No change to pedestrian/cyclist facilities





